# Benchmarking of post-quantum cryptography

#### Tanja Lange tanja@hyperelliptic.org

Technische Universiteit Eindhoven

27 September 2013

Tanja Lange "Benchmarking of post-quantum cryptography"

http://bench.cr.yp.to/

Live demo on bench.cr.yp.to

Tanja Lange "Benchmarking of post-quantum cryptography"

http://bench.cr.yp.to/

#### Live demo on bench.cr.yp.to

Some cycle counts on h9ivy (Intel Core i5-3210M, Ivy Bridge):

- ▶ ronald1024 encrypt (RSA-1024, ≈2<sup>80</sup>) 46940
- ▶ mceliece encrypt (2008 Biswas–Sendrier,  $\approx 2^{80}$ ) 61440
- gls254 DH (binary elliptic curve; CHES 2013)
   77468
- kumfp127g DH (hyperelliptic curve; Eurocrypt 2013) 116944
- curve25519 DH (conservative elliptic curve)
   182632
- ▶ ntruees787ep1 encrypt (from NTRU Inc.,  $\approx 2^{256}$ ) 398912
- ntruees787ep1 decrypt 700512
- ► mceliece **decrypt** 1219344
- ► ronald1024 decrypt 1340040

#### Efficient public-key encryption

- Batch operations are not yet in benchmarking framework: handle multiple encryptions or decryptions together. This is very useful for busy Internet nodes or cell towers.
- The McBits cryptosystem handles a batch of 256 decryptions together (CHES 2013 Bernstein–Chou–Schwabe):

0.07MB public key ( $pprox 2^{80}$ )	26544
0.21MB public key ( $pprox 2^{128}$ )	60493
1MB public key ( $pprox 2^{256}$ )	306102

- Speeds are per decryption for a batch of 256 decryptions.
- Decoding only; cipher time not included.

Tanja Lange "Benchmarking of post-quantum cryptography"

### Efficient public-key encryption

- Batch operations are not yet in benchmarking framework: handle multiple encryptions or decryptions together. This is very useful for busy Internet nodes or cell towers.
- The McBits cryptosystem handles a batch of 256 decryptions together (CHES 2013 Bernstein–Chou–Schwabe):

0.07MB public key ( $pprox 2^{80}$ )	26544
0.21MB public key ( $pprox 2^{128}$ )	60493
1MB public key ( $pprox 2^{256}$ )	306102

- ► Speeds are per decryption for a batch of 256 decryptions.
- Decoding only; cipher time not included.
- Fully protected against software side-channel attacks, i.e. attacker can have account on same computer and not get any information on the secrets.

- ▶ Basics of coding theory: Transmission channel is not perfect, so x ∈ 𝔽<sup>n</sup><sub>2</sub> will have some bits flipped.
- Syndrome decoding: compute  $H\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{s}$  for big  $(n k) \times n$ matrix H.

- ▶ Basics of coding theory: Transmission channel is not perfect, so x ∈ 𝔽<sup>n</sup><sub>2</sub> will have some bits flipped.
- Syndrome decoding: compute  $H\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{s}$  for big  $(n k) \times n$ matrix H.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \\ \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{s}$$

- Basics of coding theory: Transmission channel is not perfect, so x ∈ 𝔽<sup>n</sup><sub>2</sub> will have some bits flipped.
- Syndrome decoding: compute  $H\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{s}$  for big  $(n k) \times n$ matrix H.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{s}$$

- Basics of coding theory: Transmission channel is not perfect, so x ∈ 𝔽<sup>n</sup><sub>2</sub> will have some bits flipped.
- Syndrome decoding: compute  $H\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{s}$  for big  $(n k) \times n$ matrix H.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{s}$$

Here only consider binary codes, i.e. codes over  ${\rm I\!F}_2$ .

- ▶ Basics of coding theory: Transmission channel is not perfect, so x ∈ 𝔽<sup>n</sup><sub>2</sub> will have some bits flipped.
- Syndrome decoding: compute  $H\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{s}$  for big  $(n k) \times n$ matrix H.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{s}$$

- Reconstruct error vector e and thereby get originally sent codeword x + e.
- Works if not too many errors, i.e. number of 1s in e is small. This number is called the weight.

Tanja Lange "Benchmarking of post-quantum cryptography"

### Code-based cryptography

- Basics of coding theory: Transmission channel is not perfect, so x ∈ 𝔽<sup>n</sup><sub>2</sub> will have some bits flipped.
- Syndrome decoding: compute Hx = s for big (n − k) × n matrix H. Reconstruct error vector e and thereby get originally sent codeword x + e.
- Works if not too many errors, i.e. number of 1s in e is small. This number is called the weight.
- Code-based crypto uses e to transport key for symmetric encryption. Take e ∈ 𝔽<sup>n</sup><sub>a</sub> to have exactly weight t.
- ► Users know how to derive keys for symmetric encryption (AES, Salsa20, ...) k(e) and key for message authentication r(e)...
- ► To encrypt m to Bob, Alice looks up Bob's matrix H, computes s = He, c = Enc<sub>k(e)</sub>(m) and a = MAC<sub>r(e)</sub>(c) and sends s, c, a to Bob.

#### How can this be secure?

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

- Code-based crypto uses two different views of the same code

   one for the public parameter H which resembles a generic code and one for the secret key which is efficiently decodable.
- ► Classical decoding problem: find the closest codeword c ∈ C to a given x ∈ 𝔽<sup>n</sup><sub>2</sub>, assuming that there is a unique closest codeword.
- In particular: Decoding a generic binary code of length n and without knowing anything about its structure requires about 2<sup>(0.5+o(1))n/log<sub>2</sub>(n)</sup> binary operations (assuming a rate ≈ 1/2)
- Coding theory deals with efficiently decodable codes, e.g.
   Goppa codes are efficiently decodable and lead to random looking public matrices *H*.

# Good security history

- ▶ Original parameters by McEliece in 1978 n = 1024, k = 524, t = 50, i.e. 50 errors in a [1024, 524] code.
- In 2008 we wrote attack software against these original parameters. Attack on a single computer with a 2.4GHz Intel Core 2 Quad Q6600 CPU would need, on average, 1400 days (2<sup>58</sup> CPU cycles) to complete the attack.
- ▶ Parameters used in McBits offer much more security  $(2^{80}, 2^{128}, \text{ and } 2^{256} \text{ respectively})$ , size of public key is k(n-k) bits.
- Move from 2<sup>128</sup> to 2<sup>256</sup> to protect against attacks using quantum computers.

#### Good efficiency

- Encrypting is efficient simple matrix-vector product.
- McBits shows that Goppa codes can be decoded efficiently and in constant time.

Tanja Lange "Benchmarking of post-quantum cryptography"