

Discrete logarithm problem

Pohlig–Hellman example

Tanja Lange

Eindhoven University of Technology

2MMC10 – Cryptology

Example

$y^2 = x^3 - x$ over $F_p, p = 1000003$.

$P = (101384, 614510)$ has order $2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89$.

Given $Q = aP = (670366, 740819)$, find $a = \log_P Q$

Example

$y^2 = x^3 - x$ over $F_p, p = 1000003$.

$P = (101384, 614510)$ has order $2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89$.

Given $Q = aP = (670366, 740819)$, find $a = \log_P Q$

$R = (53^2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 2, and

$S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Example

$y^2 = x^3 - x$ over $F_p, p = 1000003$.

$P = (101384, 614510)$ has order $2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89$.

Given $Q = aP = (670366, 740819)$, find $a = \log_P Q$

$R = (53^2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 2, and

$S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Easy to compute $a_1 = \log_R S$

Example

$y^2 = x^3 - x$ over $F_p, p = 1000003$.

$P = (101384, 614510)$ has order $2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89$.

Given $Q = aP = (670366, 740819)$, find $a = \log_P Q$

$R = (53^2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 2, and

$S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Easy to compute $a_1 = \log_R S$.

Note $S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q = (53^2 \cdot 89)aP$ and $(2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89)P = \infty$.

Example

$y^2 = x^3 - x$ over $F_p, p = 1000003$.

$P = (101384, 614510)$ has order $2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89$.

Given $Q = aP = (670366, 740819)$, find $a = \log_P Q$

$R = (53^2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 2, and

$S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Easy to compute $a_1 = \log_R S$.

Note $S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q = (53^2 \cdot 89)aP$ and $(2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89)P = \infty$.

► a even, i.e., $a = 2a'$: $S = (53^2 \cdot 89)2a'P = a'\infty = \infty$

Example

$y^2 = x^3 - x$ over $F_p, p = 1000003$.

$P = (101384, 614510)$ has order $2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89$.

Given $Q = aP = (670366, 740819)$, find $a = \log_P Q$

$R = (53^2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 2, and

$S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Easy to compute $a_1 = \log_R S$.

Note $S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q = (53^2 \cdot 89)aP$ and $(2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89)P = \infty$.

- ▶ a even, i.e., $a = 2a'$: $S = (53^2 \cdot 89)2a'P = a'\infty = \infty$
- ▶ a odd, i.e., $a = 2a' + 1$: $S = (53^2 \cdot 89)(2a' + 1)P = (53^2 \cdot 89)P \neq \infty$

Example

$y^2 = x^3 - x$ over $F_p, p = 1000003$.

$P = (101384, 614510)$ has order $2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89$.

Given $Q = aP = (670366, 740819)$, find $a = \log_P Q$

$R = (53^2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 2, and

$S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Easy to compute $a_1 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{2}$.

Note $S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q = (53^2 \cdot 89)aP$ and $(2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89)P = \infty$.

- ▶ a even, i.e., $a = 2a'$: $S = (53^2 \cdot 89)2a'P = a'\infty = \infty$
- ▶ a odd, i.e., $a = 2a' + 1$: $S = (53^2 \cdot 89)(2a' + 1)P = (53^2 \cdot 89)P \neq \infty$

Example

$y^2 = x^3 - x$ over $F_p, p = 1000003$.

$P = (101384, 614510)$ has order $2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89$.

Given $Q = aP = (670366, 740819)$, find $a = \log_P Q$

$R = (53^2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 2, and

$S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Easy to compute $a_1 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{2}$.

Note $S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q = (53^2 \cdot 89)aP$ and $(2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89)P = \infty$.

- ▶ a even, i.e., $a = 2a'$: $S = (53^2 \cdot 89)2a'P = a'\infty = \infty$
- ▶ a odd, i.e., $a = 2a' + 1$: $S = (53^2 \cdot 89)(2a' + 1)P = (53^2 \cdot 89)P \neq \infty$

$R = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)P$ has order 53, and

$S = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Example

$y^2 = x^3 - x$ over $F_p, p = 1000003$.

$P = (101384, 614510)$ has order $2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89$.

Given $Q = aP = (670366, 740819)$, find $a = \log_P Q$

$R = (53^2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 2, and

$S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Easy to compute $a_1 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{2}$.

Note $S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q = (53^2 \cdot 89)aP$ and $(2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89)P = \infty$.

- ▶ a even, i.e., $a = 2a'$: $S = (53^2 \cdot 89)2a'P = a'\infty = \infty$
- ▶ a odd, i.e., $a = 2a' + 1$: $S = (53^2 \cdot 89)(2a' + 1)P = (53^2 \cdot 89)P \neq \infty$

$R = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)P$ has order 53, and

$S = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_2 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{53}$. This is a DLP in a group of size 53.

Example

$y^2 = x^3 - x$ over $F_p, p = 1000003$.

$P = (101384, 614510)$ has order $2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89$.

Given $Q = aP = (670366, 740819)$, find $a = \log_P Q$

$R = (53^2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 2, and

$S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Easy to compute $a_1 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{2}$.

Note $S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q = (53^2 \cdot 89)aP$ and $(2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89)P = \infty$.

- ▶ a even, i.e., $a = 2a'$: $S = (53^2 \cdot 89)2a'P = a'\infty = \infty$
- ▶ a odd, i.e., $a = 2a' + 1$: $S = (53^2 \cdot 89)(2a' + 1)P = (53^2 \cdot 89)P \neq \infty$

$R = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)P$ has order 53, and

$S = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_2 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{53}$. This is a DLP in a group of size 53.

Takes more effort than size 2, but much easier than size 500002.

Can use Pollard rho to attack this subgroup problem in $\sqrt{53\pi/2}$ steps.

Running example continued

$P = (101384, 614510)$ has order $2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89$.

$R = (53^2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 2, and

$S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_1 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{2}$.

$R = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)P$ has order 53, and

$S = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_2 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{53}$.

$R = (2 \cdot 53^2)P$ has order 89, and

$S = (2 \cdot 53^2)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_4 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{89}$.

Running example continued

$P = (101384, 614510)$ has order $2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89$.

$R = (53^2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 2, and

$S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_1 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{2}$.

$R = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)P$ has order 53, and

$S = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_2 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{53}$.

$R = (2 \cdot 53^2)P$ has order 89, and

$S = (2 \cdot 53^2)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_4 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{89}$.

Use Chinese Remainder Theorem

$$a \equiv a_1 \pmod{2},$$

$$a \equiv a_2 \pmod{53},$$

$$a \equiv a_4 \pmod{89},$$

to determine a modulo

Running example continued

$P = (101384, 614510)$ has order $2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89$.

$R = (53^2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 2, and

$S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_1 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{2}$.

$R = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)P$ has order 53, and

$S = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_2 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{53}$.

$R = (2 \cdot 53^2)P$ has order 89, and

$S = (2 \cdot 53^2)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_4 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{89}$.

Use Chinese Remainder Theorem

$$a \equiv a_1 \pmod{2},$$

$$a \equiv a_2 \pmod{53},$$

$$a \equiv a_4 \pmod{89},$$

to determine a modulo $2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89$.

Running example continued

$P = (101384, 614510)$ has order $2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89$.

$R = (53^2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 2, and

$S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_1 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{2}$.

$R = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)P$ has order 53, and

$S = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_2 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{53}$.

$R = (2 \cdot 53^2)P$ has order 89, and

$S = (2 \cdot 53^2)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_4 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{89}$.

Use Chinese Remainder Theorem

$$a \equiv a_1 \pmod{2},$$

$$a \equiv a_2 \pmod{53},$$

$$a \equiv a_4 \pmod{89},$$

to determine a modulo $2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89$. Cost: $1 + \sqrt{53\pi/2} + \sqrt{89\pi/2}$.

Note that cost counts steps, ignores computation of R and S .

Running example continued

$P = (101384, 614510)$ has order $2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89$.

$R = (53^2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 2, and

$S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_1 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{2}$.

$R = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)P$ has order 53, and

$S = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_2 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{53}$.

$R = (2 \cdot 53^2)P$ has order 89, and

$S = (2 \cdot 53^2)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_4 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{89}$.

Use Chinese Remainder Theorem

$$a \equiv a_1 \pmod{2},$$

$$a \equiv a_2 \pmod{53},$$

$$a \equiv a_4 \pmod{89},$$

to determine a modulo $2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89$. Cost: $1 + \sqrt{53\pi/2} + \sqrt{89\pi/2}$.

Note that cost counts steps, ignores computation of R and S .

But this misses a 53.

Running example continued

$P = (101384, 614510)$ has order $2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89$.

$R = (53^2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 2, and

$S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_1 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{2}$.

$R = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)P$ has order 53, and

$S = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_2 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{53}$.

$R = (2 \cdot 53^2)P$ has order 89, and

$S = (2 \cdot 53^2)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_4 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{89}$.

Use Chinese Remainder Theorem

$$a \equiv a_1 \pmod{2},$$

$$a \equiv a_2 \pmod{53},$$

$$a \equiv a_4 \pmod{89},$$

to determine a modulo $2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89$. Cost: $1 + \sqrt{53\pi/2} + \sqrt{89\pi/2}$.

Note that cost counts steps, ignores computation of R and S .

But this misses a 53. Brute force search in residue class: cost +53.

Are we there, yet?

$R = (53^2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 2, and

$S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_1 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{2}$.

$R = (2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 53^2 , and

$S = (2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_5 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{53^2}$.

Are we there, yet?

$R = (53^2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 2, and
 $S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .
Compute $a_1 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{2}$.

$R = (2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 53^2 , and
 $S = (2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .
Compute $a_5 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{53^2}$.

$R = (2 \cdot 53^2)P$ has order 89, and
 $S = (2 \cdot 53^2)Q$ is multiple of R .
Compute $a_4 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{89}$.

Use Chinese Remainder Theorem to determine a modulo $2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89$.

$$\begin{aligned}a &\equiv a_1 \pmod{2}, \\a &\equiv a_5 \pmod{53^2}, \\a &\equiv a_4 \pmod{89},\end{aligned}$$

Are we there, yet?

$R = (53^2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 2, and
 $S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .
Compute $a_1 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{2}$.

$R = (2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 53^2 , and
 $S = (2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .
Compute $a_5 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{53^2}$.

$R = (2 \cdot 53^2)P$ has order 89, and
 $S = (2 \cdot 53^2)Q$ is multiple of R .
Compute $a_4 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{89}$.

Use Chinese Remainder Theorem to determine a modulo $2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89$.

$$\begin{aligned}a &\equiv a_1 \pmod{2}, \\a &\equiv a_5 \pmod{53^2}, \\a &\equiv a_4 \pmod{89},\end{aligned}$$

Cost $1 + \sqrt{53^2\pi/2} + \sqrt{89\pi/2} = 79.24$ instead of
cost $1 + \sqrt{53\pi/2} + \sqrt{89\pi/2} + 53$

Are we there, yet? This is not Pohlig–Hellman

$R = (53^2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 2, and
 $S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .
Compute $a_1 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{2}$.

$R = (2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 53^2 , and
 $S = (2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .
Compute $a_5 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{53^2}$.

$R = (2 \cdot 53^2)P$ has order 89, and
 $S = (2 \cdot 53^2)Q$ is multiple of R .
Compute $a_4 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{89}$.

Use Chinese Remainder Theorem to determine a modulo $2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89$.

$$\begin{aligned}a &\equiv a_1 \pmod{2}, \\a &\equiv a_5 \pmod{53^2}, \\a &\equiv a_4 \pmod{89},\end{aligned}$$

Cost $1 + \sqrt{53^2\pi/2} + \sqrt{89\pi/2} = 79.24$ instead of
cost $1 + \sqrt{53\pi/2} + \sqrt{89\pi/2} + 53 = 74.94$.

Ratio would look worse without Pollard rho (no square roots):
 $1 + 2 \cdot 53 + 89 = 196$ vs $1 + 53^2 + 89 = 2899$.

Pohlig–Hellman for running example

$R = (53^2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 2, and

$S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_1 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{2}$.

$R = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)P$ has order 53, and

$S = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_2 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{53}$.

Pohlig–Hellman for running example

$R = (53^2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 2, and

$S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_1 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{2}$.

$R = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)P$ has order 53, and

$S = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_2 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{53}$.

$T = (2 \cdot 89)(Q - a_2P) = (2 \cdot 89)(a - a_2)P$ is multiple of R

because $a - a_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{53}$, i.e. $a - a_2 = 53a'$ and $T = (2 \cdot 89 \cdot 53)a'P$.

Compute $a_3 = \log_R T$

Pohlig–Hellman for running example

$R = (53^2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 2, and

$S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_1 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{2}$.

$R = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)P$ has order 53, and

$S = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_2 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{53}$.

$T = (2 \cdot 89)(Q - a_2P) = (2 \cdot 89)(a - a_2)P$ is multiple of R

because $a - a_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{53}$, i.e. $a - a_2 = 53a'$ and $T = (2 \cdot 89 \cdot 53)a'P$.

Compute $a_3 = \log_R T \equiv a' \pmod{53}$.

Pohlig–Hellman for running example

$R = (53^2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 2, and

$S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_1 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{2}$.

$R = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)P$ has order 53, and

$S = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_2 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{53}$.

$T = (2 \cdot 89)(Q - a_2P) = (2 \cdot 89)(a - a_2)P$ is multiple of R

because $a - a_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{53}$, i.e. $a - a_2 = 53a'$ and $T = (2 \cdot 89 \cdot 53)a'P$.

Compute $a_3 = \log_R T \equiv a' \pmod{53}$.

Note $a_2 + 53a_3 \equiv a \pmod{53^2}$.

Pohlig–Hellman for running example

$R = (53^2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 2, and

$S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_1 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{2}$.

$R = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)P$ has order 53, and

$S = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_2 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{53}$.

$T = (2 \cdot 89)(Q - a_2P) = (2 \cdot 89)(a - a_2)P$ is multiple of R

because $a - a_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{53}$, i.e. $a - a_2 = 53a'$ and $T = (2 \cdot 89 \cdot 53)a'P$.

Compute $a_3 = \log_R T \equiv a' \pmod{53}$.

Note $a_2 + 53a_3 \equiv a \pmod{53^2}$.

$R = (2 \cdot 53^2)P$ has order 89, and

$S = (2 \cdot 53^2)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_4 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{89}$.

Use Chinese Remainder Theorem to determine a modulo $2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89$.

$$a \equiv a_1 \pmod{2},$$

$$a \equiv a_2 + 53a_3 \pmod{53^2},$$

$$a \equiv a_4 \pmod{89},$$

Pohlig–Hellman for running example

$R = (53^2 \cdot 89)P$ has order 2, and

$S = (53^2 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_1 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{2}$.

$R = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)P$ has order 53, and

$S = (2 \cdot 53 \cdot 89)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_2 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{53}$.

$T = (2 \cdot 89)(Q - a_2P) = (2 \cdot 89)(a - a_2)P$ is multiple of R

because $a - a_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{53}$, i.e. $a - a_2 = 53a'$ and $T = (2 \cdot 89 \cdot 53)a'P$.

Compute $a_3 = \log_R T \equiv a' \pmod{53}$.

Note $a_2 + 53a_3 \equiv a \pmod{53^2}$.

$R = (2 \cdot 53^2)P$ has order 89, and

$S = (2 \cdot 53^2)Q$ is multiple of R .

Compute $a_4 = \log_R S \equiv a \pmod{89}$.

Use Chinese Remainder Theorem to determine a modulo $2 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 89$.

$$a \equiv a_1 \pmod{2},$$

$$a \equiv a_2 + 53a_3 \pmod{53^2},$$

$$a \equiv a_4 \pmod{89},$$

$$\text{Cost } 1 + 2\sqrt{53\pi/2} + \sqrt{89\pi/2} = 31.07 < 74.94.$$

Pohlig–Hellman overview

Pohlig–Hellman attack turns DLP $a = \log_p Q$ in group of order

$$n = \prod p_i^{e_i}, \quad p_i \text{ prime}, p_i \neq p_j, e_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$$

into

$$\sum (e_i \text{ DLPs in group of order } p_i),$$

$\sum (e_i + 1)$ scalar multiplications, and one application of the CRT.

Pohlig–Hellman overview

Pohlig–Hellman attack turns DLP $a = \log_p Q$ in group of order

$$n = \prod p_i^{e_i}, \quad p_i \text{ prime}, p_i \neq p_j, e_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$$

into

$$\sum (e_i \text{ DLPs in group of order } p_i),$$

$\sum (e_i + 1)$ scalar multiplications, and one application of the CRT.

Examples: $n \in \{61, 63, 64, 65\}$

- ▶ $n = 64$: 7 scalar multiplications (by 32, 16, 8, 4, 2, 1), 6 trivial DLs.
- ▶ $n = 61$: 1 DL in group of 61 elements (no effect of PH).

Pohlig–Hellman overview

Pohlig–Hellman attack turns DLP $a = \log_p Q$ in group of order

$$n = \prod p_i^{e_i}, \quad p_i \text{ prime}, p_i \neq p_j, e_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$$

into

$$\sum (e_i \text{ DLPs in group of order } p_i),$$

$\sum (e_i + 1)$ scalar multiplications, and one application of the CRT.

Examples: $n \in \{61, 63, 64, 65\}$

- ▶ $n = 64$: 7 scalar multiplications (by 32, 16, 8, 4, 2, 1), 6 trivial DLs.
- ▶ $n = 61$: 1 DL in group of 61 elements (no effect of PH).
- ▶ $n = 65 = 5 \cdot 13$: 4 scalar multiplications (by 13 and 5), 1 DL in group of 5 elements, 1 DL in group of 13 elements.
- ▶ $n = 63 = 3^2 \cdot 7$: 5 scalar multiplications (by 21, 7, and 9), 2 DLs in group of 3 elements, 1 DL in group of 7 elements.

Pohlig–Hellman overview

Pohlig–Hellman attack turns DLP $a = \log_p Q$ in group of order

$$n = \prod p_i^{e_i}, \quad p_i \text{ prime}, p_i \neq p_j, e_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$$

into

$$\sum (e_i \text{ DLPs in group of order } p_i),$$

$\sum (e_i + 1)$ scalar multiplications, and one application of the CRT.

Examples: $n \in \{61, 63, 64, 65\}$

- ▶ $n = 64$: 7 scalar multiplications (by 32, 16, 8, 4, 2, 1), 6 trivial DLs.
- ▶ $n = 61$: 1 DL in group of 61 elements (no effect of PH).
- ▶ $n = 65 = 5 \cdot 13$: 4 scalar multiplications (by 13 and 5), 1 DL in group of 5 elements, 1 DL in group of 13 elements.
- ▶ $n = 63 = 3^2 \cdot 7$: 5 scalar multiplications (by 21, 7, and 9), 2 DLs in group of 3 elements, 1 DL in group of 7 elements.

Pohlig–Hellman method reduces security of discrete logarithm problem in group generated by P to security of largest **prime** order subgroup.

Many groups are much weaker than their size n predicts!

Handling of one prime power I

Let $n = \prod p_i^{e_i}$, for p_i prime, $p_i \neq p_j$, $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

This slide handles $p_i^{e_i}$ for one prime p_i ; repeat to get all primes.

Handling of one prime power I

Let $n = \prod p_i^{e_i}$, for p_i prime, $p_i \neq p_j$, $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

This slide handles $p_i^{e_i}$ for one prime p_i ; repeat to get all primes.

Put $n_i = n/p_i$. P has order n .

$R_i = n_i P$ has order p_i .

$S_i = n_i Q$ is multiple of R_i , i.e., $S_i = a_i R_i$, where $a_i \equiv a \pmod{p_i}$.

Handling of one prime power I

Let $n = \prod p_i^{e_i}$, for p_i prime, $p_i \neq p_j$, $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

This slide handles $p_i^{e_i}$ for one prime p_i ; repeat to get all primes.

Put $n_i = n/p_i$. P has order n .

$R_i = n_i P$ has order p_i .

$S_i = n_i Q$ is multiple of R_i , i.e., $S_i = a_i R_i$, where $a_i \equiv a \pmod{p_i}$.

Solve this problem with an appropriate method,

i.e., brute force for tiny p_i , BSGS or Pollard rho for bigger ones.

Handling of one prime power I

Let $n = \prod p_i^{e_i}$, for p_i prime, $p_i \neq p_j$, $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

This slide handles $p_i^{e_i}$ for one prime p_i ; repeat to get all primes.

Put $n_i = n/p_i$. P has order n .

$R_i = n_i P$ has order p_i .

$S_i = n_i Q$ is multiple of R_i , i.e., $S_i = a_i R_i$, where $a_i \equiv a \pmod{p_i}$.

Solve this problem with an appropriate method,
i.e., brute force for tiny p_i , BSGS or Pollard rho for bigger ones.

If $e_i = 1$ we are done.

Handling of one prime power I

Let $n = \prod p_i^{e_i}$, for p_i prime, $p_i \neq p_j$, $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

This slide handles $p_i^{e_i}$ for one prime p_i ; repeat to get all primes.

Put $n_i = n/p_i$. P has order n .

$R_i = n_i P$ has order p_i .

$S_i = n_i Q$ is multiple of R_i , i.e., $S_i = a_i R_i$, where $a_i \equiv a \pmod{p_i}$.

Solve this problem with an appropriate method,

i.e., brute force for tiny p_i , BSGS or Pollard rho for bigger ones.

If $e_i = 1$ we are done.

Else we need to do $e_i - 1$ more steps of the same hardness.

Handling of one prime power I

Let $n = \prod p_i^{e_i}$, for p_i prime, $p_i \neq p_j$, $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

This slide handles $p_i^{e_i}$ for one prime p_i ; repeat to get all primes.

Put $n_i = n/p_i$. P has order n .

$R_i = n_i P$ has order p_i .

$S_i = n_i Q$ is multiple of R_i , i.e., $S_i = a_i R_i$, where $a_i \equiv a \pmod{p_i}$.

Solve this problem with an appropriate method,

i.e., brute force for tiny p_i , BSGS or Pollard rho for bigger ones.

If $e_i = 1$ we are done.

Else we need to do $e_i - 1$ more steps of the same hardness.

Each of these steps updates n_i to n_i/p_i , does not touch R_i
(we solve another DLP in the group of order p_i generated by R_i),
and updates target S_i :

Handling of one prime power I

Let $n = \prod p_i^{e_i}$, for p_i prime, $p_i \neq p_j$, $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

This slide handles $p_i^{e_i}$ for one prime p_i ; repeat to get all primes.

Put $n_i = n/p_i$. P has order n .

$R_i = n_i P$ has order p_i .

$S_i = n_i Q$ is multiple of R_i , i.e., $S_i = a_i R_i$, where $a_i \equiv a \pmod{p_i}$.

Solve this problem with an appropriate method,

i.e., brute force for tiny p_i , BSGS or Pollard rho for bigger ones.

If $e_i = 1$ we are done.

Else we need to do $e_i - 1$ more steps of the same hardness.

Each of these steps updates n_i to n_i/p_i , does not touch R_i
(we solve another DLP in the group of order p_i generated by R_i),
and updates target S_i :

Assume $e_i = 2$:

We want new $S_i = n_i Q$ to be multiple of R_i ,

Handling of one prime power I

Let $n = \prod p_i^{e_i}$, for p_i prime, $p_i \neq p_j$, $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

This slide handles $p_i^{e_i}$ for one prime p_i ; repeat to get all primes.

Put $n_i = n/p_i$. P has order n .

$R_i = n_i P$ has order p_i .

$S_i = n_i Q$ is multiple of R_i , i.e., $S_i = a_i R_i$, where $a_i \equiv a \pmod{p_i}$.

Solve this problem with an appropriate method,

i.e., brute force for tiny p_i , BSGS or Pollard rho for bigger ones.

If $e_i = 1$ we are done.

Else we need to do $e_i - 1$ more steps of the same hardness.

Each of these steps updates n_i to n_i/p_i , does not touch R_i
(we solve another DLP in the group of order p_i generated by R_i),
and updates target S_i :

Assume $e_i = 2$:

We want new $S_i = n_i Q$ to be multiple of R_i , but n_i lost an extra p_i and unless $a_i = 0$ in previous step we need to update Q to Q' .

Handling of one prime power I

Let $n = \prod p_i^{e_i}$, for p_i prime, $p_i \neq p_j$, $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

This slide handles $p_i^{e_i}$ for one prime p_i ; repeat to get all primes.

Put $n_i = n/p_i$. P has order n .

$R_i = n_i P$ has order p_i .

$S_i = n_i Q$ is multiple of R_i , i.e., $S_i = a_i R_i$, where $a_i \equiv a \pmod{p_i}$.

Solve this problem with an appropriate method,

i.e., brute force for tiny p_i , BSGS or Pollard rho for bigger ones.

If $e_i = 1$ we are done.

Else we need to do $e_i - 1$ more steps of the same hardness.

Each of these steps updates n_i to n_i/p_i , does not touch R_i
(we solve another DLP in the group of order p_i generated by R_i),
and updates target S_i :

Assume $e_i = 2$:

We want new $S_i = n_i Q'$ to be multiple of R_i , but n_i lost an extra p_i and unless $a_i = 0$ in previous step we need to update Q to Q' .

Handling of one prime power I

Let $n = \prod p_i^{e_i}$, for p_i prime, $p_i \neq p_j$, $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

This slide handles $p_i^{e_i}$ for one prime p_i ; repeat to get all primes.

Put $n_i = n/p_i$. P has order n .

$R_i = n_i P$ has order p_i .

$S_i = n_i Q$ is multiple of R_i , i.e., $S_i = a_i R_i$, where $a_i \equiv a \pmod{p_i}$.

Solve this problem with an appropriate method,

i.e., brute force for tiny p_i , BSGS or Pollard rho for bigger ones.

If $e_i = 1$ we are done.

Else we need to do $e_i - 1$ more steps of the same hardness.

Each of these steps updates n_i to n_i/p_i , does not touch R_i
(we solve another DLP in the group of order p_i generated by R_i),
and updates target S_i :

Assume $e_i = 2$:

We want new $S_i = n_i Q'$ to be multiple of R_i , but n_i lost an extra p_i and unless $a_i = 0$ in previous step we need to update Q to Q' .

$S_i = n_i(Q - a_i P) = n_i(a - a_i)P = n_i(p_i a')P = a' R_i$.

Handling of one prime power II

Let $n = \prod p_i^{e_i}$, for p_i prime, $p_i \neq p_j$, $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

This slide handles $p_i^{e_i}$ for one prime p_i ; repeat to get all primes.

Handling of one prime power II

Let $n = \prod p_i^{e_i}$, for p_i prime, $p_i \neq p_j$, $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

This slide handles $p_i^{e_i}$ for one prime p_i ; repeat to get all primes.

Put $n_i = n/p_i$. P has order n .

$R_i = n_i P$ has order p_i .

Let $a_i = a_{i,0} + a_{i,1}p_i + a_{i,2}p_i^2 + \cdots + a_{i,e_i-1}p_i^{e_i-1}$ and $a \equiv a_i \pmod{p_i^{e_i}}$.

We first compute $a_{i,0}$, then $a_{i,1}, a_{i,2}, \dots$

Note $a_i - (a_{i,0} + a_{i,1}p_i) = a_{i,2}p_i^2 + \cdots + a_{i,e_i-1}p_i^{e_i-1}$ is multiple of p_i^2 .

Handling of one prime power II

Let $n = \prod p_i^{e_i}$, for p_i prime, $p_i \neq p_j$, $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

This slide handles $p_i^{e_i}$ for one prime p_i ; repeat to get all primes.

Put $n_i = n/p_i$. P has order n .

$R_i = n_i P$ has order p_i .

Let $a_i = a_{i,0} + a_{i,1}p_i + a_{i,2}p_i^2 + \dots + a_{i,e_i-1}p_i^{e_i-1}$ and $a \equiv a_i \pmod{p_i^{e_i}}$.

We first compute $a_{i,0}$, then $a_{i,1}, a_{i,2}, \dots$

Note $a_i - (a_{i,0} + a_{i,1}p_i) = a_{i,2}p_i^2 + \dots + a_{i,e_i-1}p_i^{e_i-1}$ is multiple of p_i^2 .

In general $a_i - (a_{i,0} + a_{i,1}p_i + \dots + a_{i,j-1}p_i^{j-1}) = a_{i,j}p_i^j + \dots + a_{i,e_i-1}p_i^{e_i-1}$ is multiple of p_i^j .

Initialize $Q_i = Q$ and $a_{i,-1} = 0$. (So that all steps look the same).

The j th of the e_i steps, for $0 \leq j < e_i$:

- ▶ updates n_i to n_i/p_i and Q_i to $Q_i - a_{i,j-1}p_i^{j-1}P$;
 n_i loses factor p_i , Q_i gains an extra factor of p_i .
- ▶ computes $S_i = n_i Q_i$, a multiple of R_i , i.e., $S_i = a_{i,j}R_i$, using the new n_i and Q_i ;
- ▶ solves this DLP to get $a_{i,j}$.

Pohlig–Hellman attack

Input: points P, Q with $Q = aP$, order $n = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{e_i}$ of P
with $p_i \neq p_j, e_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, fully factored

Output: discrete logarithm a of Q base P

1. for $i = 1$ to r
 - 1.1 put $Q_i = Q, a_{i,-1} = 0, n_i = n/p_i$
 - 1.2 compute $R_i = n_i P$
 - 1.3 for $j = 0$ to $e_i - 1$
 - 1.3.1 compute $n_i = n/p_i^{j+1}$ # divide old n_i by p_i unless $j = 0$
 - 1.3.2 compute $Q_i = Q_i - (a_{i,j-1} p_i^{j-1}) P$
 - 1.3.3 compute $S_i = n_i Q_i$
 - 1.3.4 solve DLP $S_i = a_{i,j} R_i$ of order p_i
 - 1.4 compute $a_i = \sum_{j=0}^{e_i-1} a_{i,j} p_i^j$

2. solve CRT

$$a \equiv a_1 \pmod{p_1^{e_1}}$$

$$a \equiv a_2 \pmod{p_2^{e_2}}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a \equiv a_r \pmod{p_r^{e_r}}$$

to get $a \pmod{n}$

CRT works because $p_i^{e_i}$ are coprime and have product n .