

RSA V

$p - 1, p + 1$ methods and ECM

Tanja Lange

(some slides joint work with Daniel J. Bernstein)

Eindhoven University of Technology

2MMC10 – Cryptology

$p - 1$ method

Let $s = 232792560 = \text{lcm}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots, 20)$.

Then $2^s - 1$ is divisible by

- ▶ 70 of the 168 primes $\leq 10^3$;
- ▶ 156 of the 1229 primes $\leq 10^4$;
- ▶ 296 of the 9592 primes $\leq 10^5$;
- ▶ 470 of the 78498 primes $\leq 10^6$; etc.

$p - 1$ method

Let $s = 232792560 = \text{lcm}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots, 20)$.

Then $2^s - 1$ is divisible by

- ▶ 70 of the 168 primes $\leq 10^3$;
- ▶ 156 of the 1229 primes $\leq 10^4$;
- ▶ 296 of the 9592 primes $\leq 10^5$;
- ▶ 470 of the 78498 primes $\leq 10^6$; etc.

We know from Fermat's little theorem that

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

for p prime and $\gcd(a, p) = 1$. If p is a factor of m then p divides

$$\gcd(a^{p-1} - 1, m).$$

$p - 1$ method

Let $s = 232792560 = \text{lcm}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots, 20)$.

Then $2^s - 1$ is divisible by

- ▶ 70 of the 168 primes $\leq 10^3$;
- ▶ 156 of the 1229 primes $\leq 10^4$;
- ▶ 296 of the 9592 primes $\leq 10^5$;
- ▶ 470 of the 78498 primes $\leq 10^6$; etc.

We know from Fermat's little theorem that

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

for p prime and $\gcd(a, p) = 1$. If p is a factor of m then p divides

$$\gcd(a^{p-1} - 1, m).$$

To find p , compute $\gcd(a^s - 1, m)$ for s with many small prime factors.

$p - 1$ method

Let $s = 232792560 = \text{lcm}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots, 20)$.

Then $2^s - 1$ is divisible by

- ▶ 70 of the 168 primes $\leq 10^3$;
- ▶ 156 of the 1229 primes $\leq 10^4$;
- ▶ 296 of the 9592 primes $\leq 10^5$;
- ▶ 470 of the 78498 primes $\leq 10^6$; etc.

We know from Fermat's little theorem that

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

for p prime and $\gcd(a, p) = 1$. If p is a factor of m then p divides

$$\gcd(a^{p-1} - 1, m).$$

To find p , compute $\gcd(a^s - 1, m)$ for s with many small prime factors.

Also need prime $q|m$ with $a^s - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$, else $\gcd(a^s - 1, m) = m$.

$p - 1$ method

Let $s = 232792560 = \text{lcm}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots, 20)$.

Then $2^s - 1$ is divisible by

- ▶ 70 of the 168 primes $\leq 10^3$;
- ▶ 156 of the 1229 primes $\leq 10^4$;
- ▶ 296 of the 9592 primes $\leq 10^5$;
- ▶ 470 of the 78498 primes $\leq 10^6$; etc.

We know from Fermat's little theorem that

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

for p prime and $\gcd(a, p) = 1$. If p is a factor of m then p divides

$$\gcd(a^{p-1} - 1, m).$$

To find p , compute $\gcd(a^s - 1, m)$ for s with many small prime factors.

Also need prime $q|m$ with $a^s - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$, else $\gcd(a^s - 1, m) = m$.

Odd prime p divides $a^s - 1$ if and only if the order of a in \mathbf{F}_p^* divides s .

The latter works for sure if $p - 1$ divides s , but this is not required.

$p - 1$ method in practice

Put $s = \text{lcm}(2, 3, \dots, B_1)$ for some B_1 . Pick random a . Compute

$$b \equiv a^s \pmod{m} \text{ and } \gcd(b - 1, m)$$

using fast exponentiation with reduction modulo m . s used repeatedly, so worth it computing a good addition chain. At least use sliding windows.

$p - 1$ method in practice

Put $s = \text{lcm}(2, 3, \dots, B_1)$ for some B_1 . Pick random a . Compute

$$b \equiv a^s \pmod{m} \text{ and } \text{gcd}(b - 1, m)$$

using fast exponentiation with reduction modulo m . s used repeatedly, so worth it computing a good addition chain. At least use sliding windows.

We can reduce modulo m because computing $\text{gcd}(a^s - 1, m)$ reduces modulo m in the first step, so keep numbers $< m$ in the exponentiation.

$p - 1$ method in practice

Put $s = \text{lcm}(2, 3, \dots, B_1)$ for some B_1 . Pick random a . Compute

$$b \equiv a^s \pmod{m} \text{ and } \text{gcd}(b - 1, m)$$

using fast exponentiation with reduction modulo m . s used repeatedly, so worth it computing a good addition chain. At least use sliding windows.

We can reduce modulo m because computing $\text{gcd}(a^s - 1, m)$ reduces modulo m in the first step, so keep numbers $< m$ in the exponentiation.

“Real” $p - 1$ computations have a second stage in which one computes $\text{gcd}((b^{q_1} - 1)(b^{q_2} - 1)(b^{q_3} - 1) \dots (b^{q_k} - 1), m)$ for small primes $B_1 < q_1, \dots, q_k \leq B_2$. Several tricks for speed, not exactly this formula. Succeeds if order of $a \pmod{p}$ divides sq_i for some $1 \leq i \leq k$.

$p - 1$ method in practice

Put $s = \text{lcm}(2, 3, \dots, B_1)$ for some B_1 . Pick random a . Compute

$$b \equiv a^s \pmod{m} \text{ and } \text{gcd}(b - 1, m)$$

using fast exponentiation with reduction modulo m . s used repeatedly, so worth it computing a good addition chain. At least use sliding windows.

We can reduce modulo m because computing $\text{gcd}(a^s - 1, m)$ reduces modulo m in the first step, so keep numbers $< m$ in the exponentiation.

“Real” $p - 1$ computations have a second stage in which one computes $\text{gcd}((b^{q_1} - 1)(b^{q_2} - 1)(b^{q_3} - 1) \dots (b^{q_k} - 1), m)$ for small primes $B_1 < q_1, \dots, q_k \leq B_2$. Several tricks for speed, not exactly this formula. Succeeds if order of $a \pmod{p}$ divides sq_i for some $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Numbers are easy to factor if a factor p has smooth $p - 1$.

“Safe primes”, i.e., primes of the form $2p' + 1$, for p' a prime, are harder to factor

$p - 1$ method in practice

Put $s = \text{lcm}(2, 3, \dots, B_1)$ for some B_1 . Pick random a . Compute

$$b \equiv a^s \pmod{m} \text{ and } \text{gcd}(b - 1, m)$$

using fast exponentiation with reduction modulo m . s used repeatedly, so worth it computing a good addition chain. At least use sliding windows.

We can reduce modulo m because computing $\text{gcd}(a^s - 1, m)$ reduces modulo m in the first step, so keep numbers $< m$ in the exponentiation.

“Real” $p - 1$ computations have a second stage in which one computes $\text{gcd}((b^{q_1} - 1)(b^{q_2} - 1)(b^{q_3} - 1) \dots (b^{q_k} - 1), m)$ for small primes $B_1 < q_1, \dots, q_k \leq B_2$. Several tricks for speed, not exactly this formula. Succeeds if order of $a \pmod{p}$ divides sq_i for some $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Numbers are easy to factor if a factor p has smooth $p - 1$.

“Safe primes”, i.e., primes of the form $2p' + 1$, for p' a prime, are harder to factor with the $p - 1$ method.

This does not help against the NFS nor against $p + 1$ and ECM.

The $p + 1$ factorization method

Let $s = 232792560 = \text{lcm}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots, 20)$ and $P = (3/5, 4/5)$ in the group $\text{Clock}(\mathbf{Q})$. Define $(X, Y) = sP \in \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q}$.

The integer $S_2 = 5^{232792560} X$ is divisible by

82 of the primes $\leq 10^3$;

223 of the primes $\leq 10^4$;

455 of the primes $\leq 10^5$;

720 of the primes $\leq 10^6$;

etc. For those primes, $(X, Y) = (0, \pm 1)$ on $\text{Clock}(\mathbf{F}_p)$.

The $p + 1$ factorization method

Let $s = 232792560 = \text{lcm}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots, 20)$ and $P = (3/5, 4/5)$ in the group $\text{Clock}(\mathbf{Q})$. Define $(X, Y) = sP \in \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q}$.

The integer $S_2 = 5^{232792560} X$ is divisible by

82 of the primes $\leq 10^3$;

223 of the primes $\leq 10^4$;

455 of the primes $\leq 10^5$;

720 of the primes $\leq 10^6$;

etc. For those primes, $(X, Y) = (0, \pm 1)$ on $\text{Clock}(\mathbf{F}_p)$.

Given an integer m , compute $S_2 \equiv 5^s X(sP) \pmod m$ and $\text{gcd}(S_2, m)$ hoping to factor m . Many p 's not found by \mathbf{F}_p^* are found by $\text{Clock}(\mathbf{F}_p)$.

The $p + 1$ method changes from computing in \mathbf{F}_p^* , thus succeeding when $\text{ord}_p(a)$ divides s , to working in $\text{Clock}(\mathbf{F}_p)$, thus succeeding when $\text{ord}_p(P)$ divides $2s$.

$\text{ord}_p(a)$: order of $a \pmod p$ in \mathbf{F}_p^* ; $\text{ord}_p(P)$: order of P in $\text{Clock}(\mathbf{F}_p)$.

The $p + 1$ factorization method

Let $s = 232792560 = \text{lcm}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots, 20)$ and $P = (3/5, 4/5)$ in the group $\text{Clock}(\mathbf{Q})$. Define $(X, Y) = sP \in \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q}$.

The integer $S_2 = 5^{232792560} X$ is divisible by

82 of the primes $\leq 10^3$;

223 of the primes $\leq 10^4$;

455 of the primes $\leq 10^5$;

720 of the primes $\leq 10^6$;

etc. For those primes, $(X, Y) = (0, \pm 1)$ on $\text{Clock}(\mathbf{F}_p)$.

Given an integer m , compute $S_2 \equiv 5^s x(sP) \pmod m$ and $\text{gcd}(S_2, m)$ hoping to factor m . Many p 's not found by \mathbf{F}_p^* are found by $\text{Clock}(\mathbf{F}_p)$.

The $p + 1$ method changes from computing in \mathbf{F}_p^* , thus succeeding when $\text{ord}_p(a)$ divides s , to working in $\text{Clock}(\mathbf{F}_p)$, thus succeeding when $\text{ord}_p(P)$ divides $2s$.

$\text{ord}_p(a)$: order of $a \pmod p$ in \mathbf{F}_p^* ; $\text{ord}_p(P)$: order of P in $\text{Clock}(\mathbf{F}_p)$.

If $p \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ and $p + 1$ divides 232792560 then $5^{232792560} X \equiv 0 \pmod p$.

Proof: There are $p + 1$ points in $\text{Clock}(\mathbf{F}_p)$ for $p \equiv 3 \pmod 4$.

The $p + 1$ method succeeds if $p + 1$ divides s .

The elliptic-curve method (ECM)

Pick curve E . Fix bounds B_1, B_2 . Put $s = \text{lcm}(2, 3, \dots, B_1)$.

Stage 1:

Pick point P on E over \mathbf{Z}/m , compute $R = sP$.

Stage 2:

For small primes $B_1 < q_1, \dots, q_k \leq B_2$ compute $R_i = q_i R$.

Compute $\text{gcd}(\prod x(R_i), m)$.

The elliptic-curve method (ECM)

Pick curve E . Fix bounds B_1, B_2 . Put $s = \text{lcm}(2, 3, \dots, B_1)$.

Stage 1:

Pick point P on E over \mathbf{Z}/m , compute $R = sP$.

Stage 2:

For small primes $B_1 < q_1, \dots, q_k \leq B_2$ compute $R_i = q_i R$.

Compute $\text{gcd}(\prod x(R_i), m)$.

If order of P in E/\mathbf{F}_p (same curve, reduce mod p) divides some sq_i , then modulo p we have $R_i = (0, 1)$ (using Edwards).

The elliptic-curve method (ECM)

Pick curve E . Fix bounds B_1, B_2 . Put $s = \text{lcm}(2, 3, \dots, B_1)$.

Stage 1:

Pick point P on E over \mathbf{Z}/m , compute $R = sP$.

Stage 2:

For small primes $B_1 < q_1, \dots, q_k \leq B_2$ compute $R_i = q_i R$.

Compute $\text{gcd}(\prod x(R_i), m)$.

If order of P in E/\mathbf{F}_p (same curve, reduce mod p) divides some sq_i , then modulo p we have $R_i = (0, 1)$ (using Edwards).

ECM permits varying the curve. If a curve fails, try another.

$|E(\mathbf{F}_p)| \in [p + 1 - 2\sqrt{p}, p + 1 + 2\sqrt{p}]$.

All primes $\leq H$ found after reasonable number of curves.

The elliptic-curve method (ECM)

Pick curve E . Fix bounds B_1, B_2 . Put $s = \text{lcm}(2, 3, \dots, B_1)$.

Stage 1:

Pick point P on E over \mathbf{Z}/m , compute $R = sP$.

Stage 2:

For small primes $B_1 < q_1, \dots, q_k \leq B_2$ compute $R_i = q_i R$.

Compute $\text{gcd}(\prod x(R_i), m)$.

If order of P in E/\mathbf{F}_p (same curve, reduce mod p) divides some sq_i , then modulo p we have $R_i = (0, 1)$ (using Edwards).

ECM permits varying the curve. If a curve fails, try another.

$|E(\mathbf{F}_p)| \in [p + 1 - 2\sqrt{p}, p + 1 + 2\sqrt{p}]$.

All primes $\leq H$ found after reasonable number of curves.

Plausible conjecture: if B_1 is $\exp \sqrt{(\frac{1}{2} + o(1)) \log H \log \log H}$ then, for each prime $p \leq H$, a uniform random curve mod p has chance $\geq 1/B_1^{1+o(1)}$ to find p .

Find p using, $\leq B_1^{1+o(1)}$ curves; $\leq B_1^{2+o(1)}$ squarings. Time subexponential in H .

The elliptic-curve method (ECM)

Pick curve E . Fix bounds B_1, B_2 . Put $s = \text{lcm}(2, 3, \dots, B_1)$.

Stage 1:  Actually need to generate point along with curve; cannot compute square roots modulo m .

Pick point P on E over \mathbf{Z}/m , compute $R = sP$.

Stage 2:

For small primes $B_1 < q_1, \dots, q_k \leq B_2$ compute $R_i = q_i R$.

Compute $\text{gcd}(\prod x(R_i), m)$.

If order of P in E/\mathbf{F}_p (same curve, reduce mod p) divides some sq_i , then modulo p we have $R_i = (0, 1)$ (using Edwards).

ECM permits varying the curve. If a curve fails, try another.

$|E(\mathbf{F}_p)| \in [p + 1 - 2\sqrt{p}, p + 1 + 2\sqrt{p}]$.

All primes $\leq H$ found after reasonable number of curves.

Plausible conjecture: if B_1 is $\exp \sqrt{(\frac{1}{2} + o(1)) \log H \log \log H}$ then, for each prime $p \leq H$, a uniform random curve mod p has chance $\geq 1/B_1^{1+o(1)}$ to find p .

Find p using, $\leq B_1^{1+o(1)}$ curves; $\leq B_1^{2+o(1)}$ squarings. Time subexponential in H .

Fastest method we have seen so far.

