

2MMC10 Cryptology – Fall 2015

September 10, 2015

Finite Fields (continued)

Recap:

Definition (field). A set K is a *field* with respect to $+$ and \cdot , denoted $(K, +, \cdot)$, if

- i) $(K, +)$ is an abelian group (closure, associativity, identity, inverse, commutative),
- ii) (K^*, \cdot) is an abelian group, where $K^* = K \setminus \{0\}$, and
- iii) the distributive law holds in K , i.e.,
 $a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ for all $a, b, c \in K$

Example (GF(4)). Let's inspect $\text{GF}(4) = (\{\blacksquare, \bullet, \star, \blacktriangle\}, +, \cdot)$:

+	\blacksquare	\bullet	\star	\blacktriangle
\blacksquare	\blacksquare	\bullet	\star	\blacktriangle
\bullet	\bullet	\blacksquare	\blacktriangle	\star
\star	\star	\blacktriangle	\blacksquare	\bullet
\blacktriangle	\blacktriangle	\star	\bullet	\blacksquare

·	\bullet	\star	\blacktriangle
\bullet	\bullet	\star	\blacktriangle
\star	\star	\blacktriangle	\bullet
\blacktriangle	\blacktriangle	\bullet	\star

We have an identity and an inverse for each $+$ and \cdot ; both operations are commutative; we have closure under both operations; we have associativity:

$$\begin{aligned} \blacktriangle + (\star + \bullet) &= \blacktriangle + \blacksquare = \blacksquare \\ (\blacktriangle + \star) + \bullet &= \bullet + \bullet = \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

$\blacksquare, \bullet, \star,$ and \blacktriangle are not convenient for the representation of field elements, we want something that allows us to compute $+$ and \cdot easily.

Last time, we figured out that we can use $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ to represent the elements of the prime subfield of a field K and that K is a vector space over the prime field. So let's write

$$\text{GF}(4) = \left(\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, +, \cdot \right) = (\{0, 1, a, a + 1\}, +, \cdot).$$

Use the basis vectors $\alpha_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\alpha_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ or 1 and a in order to represent each element:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} &= 0 \cdot \alpha_2 + 0 \cdot \alpha_1 \quad \mapsto \quad 0 \cdot a + 0 \cdot 1 = 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} &= 0 \cdot \alpha_2 + 1 \cdot \alpha_1 \quad \mapsto \quad 0 \cdot a + 1 \cdot 1 = 1 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} &= 1 \cdot \alpha_2 + 0 \cdot \alpha_1 \quad \mapsto \quad 1 \cdot a + 0 \cdot 1 = a \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} &= 1 \cdot \alpha_2 + 1 \cdot \alpha_1 \quad \mapsto \quad 1 \cdot a + 1 \cdot 1 = a + 1 \end{aligned}$$

This allows us to compute the addition table:

+	0	1	a	$a+1$
0	0	1	a	$a+1$
1	1	0	$a+1$	a
a	a	$a+1$	0	1
$a+1$	$a+1$	a	1	0

·	1	a	$a+1$
1	1	a	$a+1$
a	a	$a+1$	1
$a+1$	$a+1$	1	a

But the vector space does not help as with the multiplication table – because there is no vector-vector multiplication.

Let's try another field $\text{GF}(8)$ with $8 = 2^3$ elements, thus a basis $\alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2 = a, \alpha_3 = b$. If we use $a^2 = 1$, we run into the same problems as before; choosing $a^2 = a+1$ constructs the same field as before — no connection with b . So let's try $a^2 = b$; then $a \cdot (a+1) = a^2 + a = b + a$. Again several options for $a \cdot b$. Obviously one can not choose $a \cdot b = a, b$, or $b+a$. Choosing $a \cdot b = 1$ gives $(a+1)(b+a+1) = a \cdot b + a^2 + a + b + a + 1 = 1 + b + b + 1 = 0$ — which is not possible in a field. Similarly $a \cdot b = a+b+1$ is excluded by $(a+1) \cdot (b+1) = a \cdot b + a + b + 1 = a + b + 1 + a + b + 1 = 0$. Try $a \cdot b = a+1$:

- $a \cdot (b+1) = a \cdot b + a = a + 1 + a = 1$;
- $a \cdot (b+a) = a \cdot b + a^2 = (a+1) + b$;
- $a \cdot (b+a+1) = \dots = a + 1 + b + a = b + 1$;
- $(a+1)^2 = a^2 + 1 = b + 1$;
- $(a+1)b = a \cdot b + b = (a+1) + b$;
- $(a+1)(b+1) = a \cdot b + a + b + 1 = (a+1) + a + b + 1 = b$;
- $(a+1)(b+a) = a \cdot b + a^2 + b + a = (a+1) + b + b + a = 1$;
- $b^2 = a^2 \cdot b = a \cdot (a \cdot b) = a \cdot (a+1) = a^2 + a = b + a$;
- $(b+1)(b+a) = b^2 + ba + b + a = (b+a) + (a+1) + b + a = a + 1$
- ...

·	1	a	$a+1$	b	$b+1$	$b+a$	$b+a+1$
1	1	a	$a+1$	b	$b+1$	$b+a$	$b+a+1$
a	a	b	$b+a$	$a+1$	1	$b+a+1$	$b+1$
$a+1$	$a+1$	$b+a$	$b+1$	$a+b+1$	b	1	a
b	b	$a+1$	$a+b+1$	$b+a$	a	$b+1$	1
$b+1$	$b+1$	1	b	a	$b+a+1$	$a+1$	$b+a$
$b+a$	$b+a$	$b+a+1$	1	$b+1$	$a+1$	a	b
$b+a+1$	$b+a+1$	$b+1$	a	1	$b+a$	b	$a+1$

How can we get this “automatically”?

How do we compute $a \cdot b = c$ without a lookup table?

The idea is to use a polynomial ring to represent the field elements. A polynomial ring also spans a vector space – but in contrast to the vector space, the multiplication of polynomials is well defined.

Polynomial ring over field K

$$K[x] = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x^i \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, a_i \in K \right\}. \quad f \in K[x], f = \sum f_i x_i.$$

Let n be the largest integer with $f_n \neq 0$ then $\deg(f) = n$, leading coefficient $\text{LC}(f) = f_n$, leading term $\text{LT}(f) = f_n x^n$.

Definition (irreducible). A polynomial $f \in K[x]$ is called *irreducible* if $\deg(f) \geq 1$ and it cannot be written as a product of polynomials of lower degree over the same field, i.e., if $u(x)/f(x)$ then $u(x) \in K$ or $u(x) = f(x)$.

Otherwise f is *reducible*. Note that this depends on the field K .

Example.

- $x^2 - 1 = (x + 1)(x - 1)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{R}[x]$.
- $x^4 + 2x + 1 = (x^2 + 1)^2$ in $\mathbb{R}[x]$ has no roots but is reducible.
- $x^2 + 1$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{R}[x]$ but reducible in $\mathbb{C}[x]$ by $(x - i)(x + i)$.
- $x^3 + 6x^2 + 4$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$.

The main choice we made in constructing $\text{GF}(8)$ was how to write $a \cdot b$ in terms of the other elements; $b = a^2$ and so the question was how to represent $a \cdot b = a^3$ in terms of $1, a,$ and a^2 . We chose $a^3 = a + 1$ and then all operations followed by using this equality. This polynomial, $a^3 + a + 1$ does not factor over $\text{GF}(2)$; other choices we considered, e.g., $a^3 + 1$ do factor and it was exactly by considering these factors, e.g., $(a + 1)$ and $(a^2 + a + 1)$ that we derived contradictions, e.g., $(a + 1) \cdot (a^2 + a + 1) = a^3 + 1 = 0$ (using $a^3 = 1$). In the end we worked in $\text{GF}(2)[a]/_{(a^3+a+1)\text{GF}(2)[a]}$ — the polynomial ring over $\text{GF}(2)$ modulo the irreducible polynomial $a^3 + a + 1$.

Example. Compute $a \cdot (a^2 + a)$ and $(a + 1) \cdot (a^2 + a)$ in $\text{GF}(8)$ using the irred. polynomial $a^3 + a + 1$:

$$\begin{array}{r}
 a \cdot (a^2 + a) = a^3 + a^2 \\
 \\
 a^3 + a + 1 \overline{) \quad a^3 + a^2} \\
 \quad \underline{-(a^3 + a + 1)} \\
 \quad \quad a^2 + a + 1
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{r}
 (a + 1) \cdot (a^2 + a) = a^3 + a \\
 \\
 a^3 + a + 1 \overline{) \quad a^3 + a} \\
 \quad \underline{-(a^3 + a + 1)} \\
 \quad \quad 1
 \end{array}$$

In general, this construction gives a finite field:

Let f be a monic irreducible polynomial of degree n over $\text{GF}(p)$. We define addition and multiplication on

$$\text{GF}(p)[x]/_{f(x)\text{GF}(p)[x]} = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i \mid a_i \in \text{GF}(p) \right\}$$

as addition and multiplication in $\text{GF}(p)[x]$ followed by reduction modulo $f(x)$.

The additive structure forms a group; this matches the vectorspace construction using basis $1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{n-1}$. Multiplication of two elements gives a polynomial of degree $< n$ (after reduction), associativity and commutativity are inherited from $\text{GF}(p)[x]$, the neutral element is 1 — so the question is whether every element $\neq 0$ is invertible.

Let $g = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g_i x^i \in \text{GF}(p)[x]/_{f(x)\text{GF}(p)[x]}$. Since f is irreducible, $\gcd(f, g) = 1$ and XGCD computes polynomials h and l with $1 = g \cdot h + f \cdot l$, thus $h \equiv g^{-1} \pmod{f}$. This procedure works for any g — so the multiplicative structure forms a group, too. The distributive laws hold as in $\text{GF}(p)[x]$ — so we have a field with p^n elements, as soon as we have an irreducible polynomial of degree n over $\text{GF}(p)$.

Example. The polynomial $f = x^3 + x^2 + 1$ is irreducible over the field \mathbb{F}_2 .
 What is the inverse of $x^2 + 1$ over \mathbb{F}_2 modulo f ?

$$\begin{array}{r} x+1 \\ x^2+1 \overline{) x^3+x^2+1} \\ \underline{-(x^3+x)} \\ x^2+x+1 \\ \underline{-(x^2+1)} \\ x \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{l} x^3+x^2+1 = (x^2+1)(x+1) + x \\ (x^3+x^2+1) + (x^2+1)(x+1) = x \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} x \\ x \overline{) x^2+1} \\ \underline{-x^2} \\ 1 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{l} x^2+1 = x \cdot x + 1 \\ x^2+1 + x \cdot x = 1 \end{array}$$

$$1 = (x^3 + x^2 + 1) \cdot ? + (x^2 + 1) \cdot ? \qquad (x^2 + 1)(x^2 + x + 1) = x^4 + x^3 + x + 1$$

$$\begin{array}{l} 1 = (x^2 + 1) + x \cdot x \\ = (x^2 + 1) + [(x^3 + x^2 + 1) + (x^2 + 1)(x + 1)] x \\ = (x^2 + 1) + (x^3 + x^2 + 1) x + (x^2 + 1)(x + 1) x \\ = (x^3 + x^2 + 1) x + (x^2 + 1) + (x^2 + 1)(x + 1) x \\ = (x^3 + x^2 + 1) x + (x^2 + 1) [1 + (x + 1) x] \\ = (x^3 + x^2 + 1) x + (x^2 + 1)(x^2 + x + 1) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{r} x \\ x^3+x^2+1 \overline{) x^4+x^3+x+1} \\ \underline{-(x^4+x^3+x)} \\ 1 \end{array}$$

Alternative approach:

We know that $a^{p^n} = a$ and $a^{p^n-1} = 1$ for $a \in \text{GF}(p^n)$ (Lagrange's Theorem).

Thus $a \cdot a^{p^n-2} = a^{p^n-1} = 1$.

So we can compute the inverse of $(x^2 + 1)$ as $(x^2 + 1)^6$ in $\text{GF}(8)$:

$$\begin{aligned} (x^2 + 1)^6 &= (x^2 + 1)^4 (x^2 + 1)^2 \\ &= ((x^2 + 1)^2)^2 (x^2 + 1)^2 \\ &= (x^4 + 1)^2 (x^4 + 1) \\ &= (x^8 + 1) (x^4 + 1) \\ &= x^{12} + x^8 + x^4 + 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} x^9+x^8+x^7+x^4+x^3+x^2+x \\ x^3+x^2+1 \overline{) x^{12}+x^8+x^4+1} \\ \underline{-(x^{12}+x^{11}+x^9)} \\ x^{11}+x^9+x^8+x^4+1 \\ \underline{-(x^{11}+x^{10}+x^8)} \\ \dots \\ x^2+x+1 \end{array}$$

How do we find irreducible polynomials?

Pick a random polynomial and check if it is irreducible using "Rabin's test of irreducibility" (or a computer algebra system of your choice).