

# Problems with Schoolbook RSA I

Tanja Lange

Eindhoven University of Technology

2WF80: Introduction to Cryptology

# Small exponent RSA

Often  $e$  is chosen so that computing  $m^e \bmod n$  is fast.  
This means  $e$  is small and has a low Hamming weight  
(Hamming weight = number of 1s in the binary representation).

Typical choices:  $e \in \{3, 17, 65537\}$ .

## Small exponent RSA

Often  $e$  is chosen so that computing  $m^e \bmod n$  is fast.  
This means  $e$  is small and has a low Hamming weight  
(Hamming weight = number of 1s in the binary representation).

Typical choices:  $e \in \{3, 17, 65537\}$ .  
 $65537 = 2^{16} + 1$ .

Obvious problem:

If  $e$  and  $m$  are small then  $c = m^e$  (no reduction modulo  $n$ ),  
and integer  $e$ -th powers are easy to spot and to undo.

E.g.  $c = 4096$  for  $(n, e) = (663847, 3)$ .

## Small exponent RSA

Often  $e$  is chosen so that computing  $m^e \bmod n$  is fast.  
This means  $e$  is small and has a low Hamming weight  
(Hamming weight = number of 1s in the binary representation).

Typical choices:  $e \in \{3, 17, 65537\}$ .  
 $65537 = 2^{16} + 1$ .

Obvious problem:

If  $e$  and  $m$  are small then  $c = m^e$  (no reduction modulo  $n$ ),  
and integer  $e$ -th powers are easy to spot and to undo.

E.g.  $c = 4096$  for  $(n, e) = (663847, 3)$ .

We know  $4096 = 2^{12} = 2^{3 \cdot 4} = 16^3$ , thus  $m = 16$ .

## Small exponent RSA

Often  $e$  is chosen so that computing  $m^e \bmod n$  is fast.  
This means  $e$  is small and has a low Hamming weight  
(Hamming weight = number of 1s in the binary representation).

Typical choices:  $e \in \{3, 17, 65537\}$ .  
 $65537 = 2^{16} + 1$ .

Obvious problem:

If  $e$  and  $m$  are small then  $c = m^e$  (no reduction modulo  $n$ ),  
and integer  $e$ -th powers are easy to spot and to undo.

E.g.  $c = 4096$  for  $(n, e) = (663847, 3)$ .

We know  $4096 = 2^{12} = 2^{3 \cdot 4} = 16^3$ , thus  $m = 16$ .

This problem can be fixed by padding so that encoded messages are large enough.

# CRT attack on low-exponent Schoolbook RSA encryption

Patty is organizing a party and is inviting friends Alice, Bob, and Charlie. She uses Schoolbook RSA encryption to send them the date of the party. Their keys are  $(n_A, e)$ ,  $(n_B, 3)$ , and  $(n_C, 3)$ .

Eve is not invited but has the ciphertexts

$$c_A \equiv m^3 \pmod{n_A}$$

$$c_B \equiv m^3 \pmod{n_B}$$

$$c_C \equiv m^3 \pmod{n_C}$$

# CRT attack on low-exponent Schoolbook RSA encryption

Patty is organizing a party and is inviting friends Alice, Bob, and Charlie. She uses Schoolbook RSA encryption to send them the date of the party. Their keys are  $(n_A, e)$ ,  $(n_B, 3)$ , and  $(n_C, 3)$ .

Eve is not invited but has the ciphertexts

$$c_A \equiv m^3 \pmod{n_A}$$

$$c_B \equiv m^3 \pmod{n_B}$$

$$c_C \equiv m^3 \pmod{n_C}$$

Using the Chinese Remainder Theorem, she can compute

$$m^3 \pmod{n_A n_B n_C}.$$

# CRT attack on low-exponent Schoolbook RSA encryption

Patty is organizing a party and is inviting friends Alice, Bob, and Charlie. She uses Schoolbook RSA encryption to send them the date of the party. Their keys are  $(n_A, e)$ ,  $(n_B, 3)$ , and  $(n_C, 3)$ .

Eve is not invited but has the ciphertexts

$$c_A \equiv m^3 \pmod{n_A}$$

$$c_B \equiv m^3 \pmod{n_B}$$

$$c_C \equiv m^3 \pmod{n_C}$$

Using the Chinese Remainder Theorem, she can compute

$$m^3 \pmod{n_A n_B n_C}.$$

She notes that  $m$  is smaller than each of the  $n$ , so  $m^3 < n_A n_B n_C$ .



# CRT attack on low-exponent Schoolbook RSA encryption

Patty is organizing a party and is inviting friends Alice, Bob, and Charlie. She uses Schoolbook RSA encryption to send them the date of the party. Their keys are  $(n_A, e)$ ,  $(n_B, 3)$ , and  $(n_C, 3)$ .

Eve is not invited but has the ciphertexts

$$c_A \equiv m^3 \pmod{n_A}$$

$$c_B \equiv m^3 \pmod{n_B}$$

$$c_C \equiv m^3 \pmod{n_C}$$

Using the Chinese Remainder Theorem, she can compute

$$m^3 \pmod{n_A n_B n_C}.$$

She notes that  $m$  is smaller than each of the  $n$ , so  $m^3 < n_A n_B n_C$ . Which means that she has an *integer cube* without reduction.

## Example

The keys are  $(n_A, e) = (663847, 3)$ ,  $(n_B, 3) = (622411, 3)$ , and  $(n_C, 3) = (499153, 3)$ .

The ciphertexts are:  $c_A = 94601$ ,  $c_B = 380254$ ,  $c_C = 451506$ .  
CRT computation gives

$$m^3 \equiv 19951021419848000 \pmod{n_A n_B n_C}$$

and thus  $m = 271220$ .

## Example

The keys are  $(n_A, e) = (663847, 3)$ ,  $(n_B, 3) = (622411, 3)$ , and  $(n_C, 3) = (499153, 3)$ .

The ciphertexts are:  $c_A = 94601$ ,  $c_B = 380254$ ,  $c_C = 451506$ .  
CRT computation gives

$$m^3 \equiv 19951021419848000 \pmod{n_A n_B n_C}$$

and thus  $m = 271220$ .

The same works for exponent  $e$  if Eve gets  $e$  ciphertexts of the same message, all using  $e$ .

## Example

The keys are  $(n_A, e) = (663847, 3)$ ,  $(n_B, 3) = (622411, 3)$ , and  $(n_C, 3) = (499153, 3)$ .

The ciphertexts are:  $c_A = 94601$ ,  $c_B = 380254$ ,  $c_C = 451506$ .  
CRT computation gives

$$m^3 \equiv 19951021419848000 \pmod{n_A n_B n_C}$$

and thus  $m = 271220$ .

The same works for exponent  $e$  if Eve gets  $e$  ciphertexts of the same message, all using  $e$ .

Solution: randomized padding.