Cryptography, exercise sheet 7 for 15 Oct 2024

- 1. Show that ElGamal encryption is re-randomizable, i.e., show that (r, c) and $(rg^{k'}, mh_A^{k'})$ decrypt to the same message for any k'. (We have covered this in class last Thu).
- 2. Show that ElGamal encryption is homomorphic, i.e., find some way to compline ciphertexts (r_1, c_1) encrypting m_1 and (r_2, c_2) encrypting m_2 (both encrypted to public key h_A) so that the resulting ciphertext is an encryption of m_1m_2 . Note: This only involves the public values, no decryption.
- 3. Alice and Bob use ElGamal encryption. Eve learns that Bob's random-number generator is broken (details below) and she learns the decryption m_1 of (r_1, c_1) .
 - (a) Assume that Bob uses the same nonce k for all encryptions. Show how Eve can decrypt (r_2, c_2) .
 - (b) Assume that Bob increments his k for each encryption, i.e., that $k_{i+1} = k_1 + i$. Show how Eve can decrypt (r_i, c_i) .
- 4. This exercise uses the example version of the Wegman-Carter message authentication code with p=1000003.

To authenticate the *i*-th ciphertext c_i the sender expresses c_i in base 10^6 as $c_i = c_{i,0} + c_{i,1}10^6 + c_{i,2}10^{12} + \cdots + c_{i,k}10^{6k}$ and computes the authenticator as

$$t_i = (c_{i,0}r^{k+1} + c_{i,1}r^k + c_{i,2}r^{k-1} + \dots + c_{i,k}r \mod p) + s_i \mod 1000000.$$

For simplicity we will do i=1 and omit the extra indices. Compute the authenticator for c=454356542435979283475928437, r=483754, s=342534.

5. The proper definition of Wegman–Carter MAC puts

$$t_i = \left(\sum_{j=1}^k c_{i,j} r^{k+1-j} \bmod p\right) + s_i \bmod 2^n$$

for c_i a ciphertext of kn bits and $p > 2^n$ a prime.

Show that it is important that the powers of r start at r^1 rather than at r^0 , i.e., show how an outside attacker who does not have access to r or any of the s_i but sees some (c_i, t_i, i) can compute some valid (c', t', i) on a new ciphertext $c' \neq c_i$ if instead the definition is

$$t' = \left(\sum_{j=1}^k c_{j,i} r^{k-j} \bmod p\right) + s_i \bmod 2^n.$$

6. Majordomo is a program that manages Internet mailing lists. If you send a message to majordomo@foodplus.com saying subscribe recipes, Majordomo will add you to the recipes mailing list, and you will receive several interesting recipes by e-mail every day.

It is easy to forge mail. You can subscribe a victim, let's say God@heaven.af.mil, to the recipes mailing list, and thousands more mailing lists, by sending fake subscription requests to Majordomo. God@heaven.af.mil will then be flooded with mail.

Majordomo 1.94, released in October 1996, attempts to protect subscribers as follows. After it receives your subscription request, it sends you a confirmation number. To

complete your subscription, you must send a second request containing the confirmation number.

Majordomo 1.94 generates confirmation numbers as follows. There is a function h that changes strings to numbers. The recipes mailing list has a secret string k. The confirmation number for an address a is h(ka). For example, if the secret string is ossifrage, and the address is God@heaven.af.mil, the confirmation number is h(ossifrageGod@heaven.af.mil).

The function h produces a 32-bit result. Each letter is naturally represented in a computer as a byte, i.e., an integer in [0,255]. The string is read from left to right. In the following "rotate left 4 bits" turns $(b_{31},b_{30},\ldots,b_1,b_0)$ into $(b_{27},b_{26},\ldots,b_1,b_0,b_{31},b_{30},b_{29},b_{28})$.

The function h is computed as follows. Start with 0. Add the first byte of the string. Rotate left 4 bits. Add the next byte of the string. Rotate left 4 bits. Continue adding and rotating until the end of the string.

Explain how to subscribe God@heaven.af.mil to the recipes mailing list despite this protection, and explain what Majordomo 1.94 should have done.

- 7. Show how to retrieve the message m in RSA-OAEP from M=(s,t). (See RSA I for the definition of RSA-OAEP.) This is just considering the encoding and decoding of the message and skips the RSA part. The functions G and H are cryptographic hash functions, so you cannot invert them.
- 8. To do after Thursday's lecture: In 2016 a bug was found in Signal for Android which meant that in some cases the MAC was over a shorter part of the message, allowing an attacker to append data to a message. More specifically, this bug applied to attachments and came from an error in the code taking a 64-bit value for a 32-bit one. The part that makes this relevant for 2MMC10 is that the implementation used AES in CBC mode. Please read https://pwnaccelerator.github.io/2016/signal-part2.html.