

# Scalar Multiplication and Addition Chains

Peter Birkner

Department of Mathematics, Technical University of Denmark

Summer School on Elliptic and Hyperelliptic Curve  
Cryptography, Toronto 2006

# Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Left-To-Right Binary
- 3 Right-To-Left Binary
- 4 Signed Digit Representations
- 5 Windowing Methods

# Motivation

**Given:** A group  $(G, \oplus)$ , an element  $P \in G$  and a scalar  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

**Task:** Compute  $[n]P$  efficiently

- In Elliptic curve cryptosystems  $G$  is group of points on the curve.
- Scalar multiplication is the most important operation in these DL-based cryptosystems!
- First naive method:  $[n]P = P \oplus P \oplus \dots \oplus P$  ( $n$ -times)
- If  $n = 2^k$ , then compute  $[n]P$  using  $k$  doublings  
 $[2]P, [4]P, [8]P, \dots, [2^k]P$

## Better: Left-To-Right Binary (1)

### Algorithm 1 (Left-to-right binary)

IN: An element  $P \in G$  and a positive integer  
 $n = (n_{l-1} \dots n_0)$ ,  $n_{l-1} = 1$ .

OUT: The element  $[n]P \in G$ .

- 1  $R \leftarrow P$
- 2 for  $i = l - 2$  to 0 do
  - 1  $R \leftarrow [2]R$
  - 2 if  $n_i = 1$  then  $R \leftarrow R \oplus P$
  - 3  $i \leftarrow i - 1$
- 3 return  $R$

## Left-To-Right Binary (2)

The algorithm uses the following rule:

$$[(n_{l-1} \dots n_i)_2]P = [2][(n_{l-1} \dots n_{i+1})_2]P \oplus [n_i]P$$

**Example:**  $45 = (101101)_2$

$P$

$2P$

$2(2P) \oplus P$

$2(2(2P) \oplus P) \oplus P$

$2(2(2(2P) \oplus P) \oplus P)$

$2(2(2(2(2P) \oplus P) \oplus P)) \oplus P = [45]P$

**Algorithm is aka Double-and-Add**

## Right-To-Left Binary

### Algorithm 2 (Right-to-Left binary)

IN: An element  $P \in G$  and a positive integer  
 $n = (n_{l-1} \dots n_0), n_{l-1} = 1.$

OUT: The element  $[n]P \in G.$

- 1  $R \leftarrow 0, S \leftarrow P, i \leftarrow 0$
- 2 while  $i \leq l-1$  do
  - 1 if  $n_i = 1$  then  $R \leftarrow R \oplus S$
  - 2  $S \leftarrow [2]S$
  - 3  $i \leftarrow i+1$
- 3 return  $R$

## Remarks

- Right-to-left binary needs  $l - 1$  doublings and  $w(n)$  additions
- $w(n)$  denotes the Hamming weight of  $n$ . That is the number of nonzero digits in the binary representation of  $n$
- On average the density is  $1/2$ .

## Non-Adjacent-Form (NAF) (1)

- On an EC addition and subtraction can be computed with the same effort
- Hence, use **signed** digits!
- $n = \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} n_i 2^i$  with  $n_i \in \{0, \pm 1\}$
- No two consecutive digits are nonzero in NAF
- NAF is unique and has minimal density of all signed digit representations
- The average density is  $1/3$
- Note: The length can increase by 1



## Non-Adjacent-Form (NAF) (2)

### Algorithm 3 (Signed-binary representation in NAF)

IN: A positive integer  $n = (n_\ell n_{\ell-1} \dots n_0)_2$  with  $n_\ell = n_{\ell-1} = 0$ .

OUT: The signed-binary representation of  $n$  in NAF  
 $(n'_{\ell-1} \dots n'_0)_s$ .

- 1  $c_0 \leftarrow 0$
- 2 for  $i = 0$  to  $\ell - 1$  do
  - 1  $c_{i+1} \leftarrow \lfloor (c_i + n_i + n_{i+1})/2 \rfloor$
  - 2  $n'_i \leftarrow c_i + n_i - 2c_{i+1}$
- 3 return  $(n'_{\ell-1} \dots n'_0)_s$

## Non-Adjacent-Form (NAF) (3)

**Example.** We want to compute the NAF of  $15 = (1111)_2$

$i$	$c_i$	$c_{i+1}$	$n_i$	$n_{i+1}$	$n'_i$
0	0	1	1	1	-1
1	1	1	1	1	0
2	1	1	1	1	0
3	1	1	1	0	0
4	1	0	0		1

The NAF of 15 is  $(1, 0, 0, 0, -1)_{NAF}$  with density  $2/5$

$15 = (1, 0, -1, 1, 1)$ . Signed digit represent. is **not unique!**

## Non-Adjacent-Form (NAF) (4)

### Algorithm 4 (Left-to-right NAF)

IN: An element  $P \in G$  and a positive integer

$$n = (n_{l-1} \dots n_0), n_{l-1} = 1.$$

OUT: The element  $[n]P \in G$ .

- 1  $R \leftarrow P$
- 2 for  $i = l - 2$  to  $0$  do
  - 1  $R \leftarrow [2]R$
  - 2 if  $n_i = 1$  then  $R \leftarrow R \oplus P$
  - 3 if  $n_i = -1$  then  $R \leftarrow R \oplus (-P)$
  - 4  $i \leftarrow i - 1$
- 3 return  $R$

## The $2^k$ -ary Method (1)

- Use a larger basis to get sparse representations of  $n$
- A common choice is  $2^k$  as basis
- $S = \{0, 1, \dots, 2^k - 1\}$  are the digits
- To perform scalar multiplication, first precompute  $[s]P$  for all  $s \in S$  and use a modified version of Algorithm 1

**Example**  $k = 3$ ,  $S = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$

$$n = 241 = (11|110|001)_2 = (361)_{2^3}$$

## The $2^k$ -ary Method (2)

### Algorithm 5 (Left-to-right $2^k$ -ary)

IN: An element  $P \in G$  and a positive integer  $n$   
in  $2^k$ -ary representation  $n = (n_{l-1} \dots n_0)_{2^k}$   
Precomputed values  $P, [2]P, \dots, [2^k - 1]P$   
OUT: The element  $[n]P \in G$ .

- 1  $R \leftarrow [n_{l-1}]P$
- 2 for  $i = l - 2$  to  $0$  do
  - 1  $R \leftarrow [2^k]R$
  - 2 if  $n_i \neq 0$  then  $R \leftarrow R \oplus [n_i]P$
  - 3  $i \leftarrow i - 1$
- 3 return  $R$

## The $2^k$ -ary Method (3)

**Example**  $k = 3$ ,  $S = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$

$$n = 241 = (361)_{2^3}$$

Precompute the values  $P, [2]P, \dots, [7]P$

$$R = 3P$$

$$R = 8R = 24P$$

$$R = R \oplus 6P = 30P$$

$$R = 8R = 240P$$

$$R = R \oplus 1P = 241P$$

## Sliding Window Methods

- To reduce the number of precomputations **sliding** window methods can be used!
- Digits are only the **odd** integers smaller than  $2^k$  and 0
- $S' = \{0, 1, 3, 5, \dots, 2^k - 1\}$
- Consecutive zeros are skipped
- Scan from right to left  $\Rightarrow$  block is odd
- **Example** ( $k = 3$ )

$$241 = (\underline{1} \ \underline{111} \ 000 \ \underline{1})_2$$

- Sliding window is also possible with signed digits!

## Multiexponentiation (1)

- Sometimes one needs to compute more than one scalar multiplication and later add the results
- E. g. in checking a signature
- Use a trick to combine doublings
- **Example.** We want to compute  $[27]P_0 \oplus [30]P_1$   
 $27 = (11011)_2$   
 $30 = (11110)_2$
- Scan the columns from left to right and double-and-add:  
 $P_0 \oplus P_1$   
 $[2](P_0 \oplus P_1) \oplus P_0 \oplus P_1$   
 $[2]([2](P_0 \oplus P_1) \oplus P_0 \oplus P_1) \oplus P_1$   
 $\dots = [27]P_0 \oplus [30]P_1$



## Multiexponentiation (2)

### Remarks

- Some doublings and additions can be saved if  $P_0 \oplus P_1$  is precomputed
- Density is  $3/4$
- Using NAF instead of binary reduces density to  $5/9$   
 $P_0 \oplus P_1$  and  $P_0 \oplus (-P_1)$  have to be precomputed
- With the Joint Sparse Form (JSF) a density of  $1/2$  can be achieved (see Solinas, 2001)