


Discrete Logs for Hyperelliptic Curves

*Summer School on Elliptic and
Hyperelliptic Curve Cryptography*

Nicolas Thériault

ntheriaul@fields.utoronto.ca

Fields Institute

Discrete Logarithms

Suppose that $G = \langle a \rangle$, an additive group of order N , and $b \in G$.

The **discrete logarithm** of b in base a , $DL_a(b)$ is the smallest integer $\lambda \geq 0$ such that

$$b = [\lambda]a .$$

The discrete log satisfies (for $a, b, c \in G$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$):

$$DL_a(b + c) \equiv DL_a(b) + DL_a(c) \pmod{N}$$

$$DL_a([k]b) \equiv kDL_a(b) \pmod{N}$$

$$DL_a(b) \equiv DL_c(b) / DL_c(a) \pmod{N}$$

Note: for the last relation, we assume that $a \in \langle c \rangle$.

The Discrete Log Problem

In generic groups, we have three square-root methods to compute $DL_a(b)$, which take $O(\sqrt{\text{group order}})$ group operations:

- Baby Step - Giant Step (Shanks)
- Pollard ρ
- Pollard kangaroo

and one more method to take advantage of the prime decomposition of the group order:

- Pohlig-Hellman

Hyperelliptic Curves

For hyperelliptic curves (HEC) of genus g over the field \mathbb{F}_q , the order of the divisor class group is

$$q^g + O\left(gq^{g-1/2}\right) .$$

To have a group of size N , we need $\log q \approx \frac{1}{g} \log N$.

For HECC, the cost of field arithmetic is $O((\log q)^2)$.

The group operation is done using Cantor's algorithm, which takes $O(g^2)$ field operations.

Looking quickly, the cost of a group operation seems to be stable if we fix a group order and vary the genus...

If groups obtained from HEC are generic groups, then to have the same security as an EC over a field of 160 bits, a genus 5 curve needs a field of 32 bits...

At the 32 bit size we get a big boost in performance (on 32-bit processors), so genus 5 could be much faster!

But...

- We are applying asymptotic results to (small) fixed values (the conclusions could be wrong).
- We are assuming that divisor class groups are generic groups (**hum... not really**)

Suppose that we have $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k \in G$ (a **factor base**).

Suppose that we know $DL_a(p_1), DL_a(p_1), \dots, DL_a(p_k)$.

Suppose that we are able to write **smooth relations**

$$[\gamma]b = [\alpha_1]p_1 + [\alpha_2]p_2 + \dots + [\alpha_k]p_k \ .$$

Then

$$\gamma DL_a(b) \equiv \alpha_1 DL_a(p_1) + \alpha_2 DL_a(p_2) + \dots + \alpha_k DL_a(p_k) \pmod{N} \ ,$$

and if $\gcd(\gamma, N) = 1$, we get

$$DL_a(b) \equiv \frac{\alpha_1 DL_a(p_1) + \alpha_2 DL_a(p_2) + \dots + \alpha_k DL_a(p_k)}{\gamma} \pmod{N} \ .$$

How to find $DL_a(p_j)$

Look for random multiples of a that can be “factored” in terms of the p_j 's, i.e.

$$[\beta_i]a = [\delta_{i,1}]p_1 + [\delta_{i,2}]p_2 + \dots + [\delta_{i,k}]p_k \ .$$

Each “factorization” gives a linear equation of the form

$$\beta_i = \delta_{i,1}DL_a(p_1) + \delta_{i,2}DL_a(p_2) + \dots + \delta_{i,k}DL_a(p_k) \ ,$$

where the $DL_a(p_j)$ are “variables”.

Once we have a system of rank k , try to solve it. There is a solution since $p_j \in \langle a \rangle$ (for every j), and it must be unique since we have a system of rank k in k variables.

We now have three problems to work out:

- How to **choose the factor base**
 - Prime divisors
- How to **find smooth relations**
 - Factorization
- How to **solve a system of linear equations**
 - Gaussian elimination, $O(k^3)$ operations mod N
 - Sparse linear algebra solvers, $O(\omega k^2)$
 - ◆ ω is the average number of non-zero coefficients per equation (small)
 - ◆ Lanczos' Algorithm
 - ◆ Wiedemann's algorithm

1. Find a smooth relation from $[\alpha]a$, one from $[\beta]b$ and “enough” relations of the form

$$[\gamma_{i,1}]p_1 + [\gamma_{i,2}]p_2 + \dots + [\gamma_{i,k}]p_k = \mathbf{0} .$$

The smooth relations for $\mathbf{0}$ link the p_j 's together (in a lattice). They can be used to write $[\beta]b$ in terms of $[\alpha]a$.

2. Find relations of the form

$$[\alpha_i]a + [\beta_i]b = [\delta_{i,1}]p_1 + [\delta_{i,2}]p_2 + \dots + [\delta_{i,k}]p_k$$

and find a linear combination for which the $\delta_{i,j}$'s are congruent to 0 mod N .

This is the **kernel approach**.

We have t “random” linear combinations

$$[\alpha_i]a + [\beta_i]b = \sum_{j=1}^k [\delta_{i,j}]p_j.$$

We can write the $\delta_{i,j}$'s in a matrix $M = (\delta_{i,j})$ over $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$.

If $t \geq k + 1$, the rank of the matrix must be smaller than the number of equations, so there exists a non-zero vector $\gamma = (\gamma_i)$ in the kernel of M , i.e. such that for every j

$$\sum_{i=1}^t \gamma_i \delta_{i,j} \equiv 0 \pmod{N}.$$

This gives us

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{j=1}^k \left[\sum_{i=1}^t \gamma_i \delta_{i,j} \right] p_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^t \gamma_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^k [\delta_{i,j}] p_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^t \gamma_i ([\alpha_i] a + [\beta_i] b) \\ &= \left[\sum_{i=1}^t \gamma_i \alpha_i \right] a + \left[\sum_{i=1}^t \gamma_i \beta_i \right] b \\ &= [\alpha] a + [\beta] b \end{aligned}$$

Advantages:

- Requires exactly $k + 1$ relations (the other methods require more on average)
- The linear algebra is slightly faster.
- p_j does not have to be in $\langle a \rangle$ (we never compute $DL_a(p_j)$).

Inconvenient:

- The linear algebra must be restarted for every new discrete log in the group (if the $DL_a(p_j)$'s are known we only need to find **one** smooth relation with the new b).

A **prime divisor** is a semi-reduced divisor that cannot be written as the sum of two (or more) semi-reduced divisors except $\mathbf{0}$ and itself.

A prime divisor D can be written as

$$D = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \sigma^j(P) - iP_\infty$$

where P is a point in $C(\mathbb{F}_{q^i})$ (but not over any subfield) and σ is the Frobenius map over \mathbb{F}_q .

Every semi-reduced divisor “factors” uniquely as a sum of prime divisors

Remark: That's not true for divisor classes!

This is easier in the ideal class group...

A **prime ideal** is an ideal that cannot be written as a product of two ideals other than (1) and itself.

Prime ideals can be written in the form $(u(x), y - v(x))$ with $u(x)$ irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ and $\deg(v) < \deg(u)$.

The factorization of the ideal $(u(x), y - v(x))$ can be found by factoring $u(x)$. We get

$$(u(x), y - v(x)) = \prod_i (u_i(x), y - v_i(x))$$

with $u(x) = \prod_i u_i(x)$ and $v_i(x) \equiv v(x) \pmod{u_i(x)}$.

The **size** of a prime ideal $(u(x), y - v(x))$ is the degree of $u(x)$.

We let the factor base \mathcal{B} be the set of all prime ideals of size at most B .

An ideal is **B -smooth** if it factors into prime ideals of size at most B , i.e. if all the irreducible factors of $u(x)$ are of degree at most B .

To choose the value of B we need to know how it affects finding B -smooth relations.

Note: $k_B = |\mathcal{B}| = |\{\text{prime divisors of size } \leq B\}|$

If smooth divisors (ideals) appear with probability p_B , how many divisors should we look at to be almost certain to find $k_B + 1$ smooth divisors?

Let $X_i = 1$ if the i^{th} divisor is smooth, 0 otherwise. X_i follows a Bernoulli distribution with probability p .

Let $Y_j = \sum_{i=1}^j X_i$. Since the X_i 's are (assumed to be) independent, this is a Binomial distribution $B(j, p)$.

$$\begin{aligned} E[Y_j] &= jp_B \\ \text{Var}(Y_j) &= jp_B(1 - p_B) \\ \sigma(Y_j) &< \sqrt{jp_B} \end{aligned}$$

We will need $k_B + 1$ smooth relations for some large k_B .
To have $E[Y_j] \approx k_B$, we need $j \approx k_B/p_B$.
But that's an expected value, we could end up short, or
with too many... Can we be more precise?

Chebyshev's inequality:

$$Pr(|Y_j - E[Y_j]| \geq c\sigma(Y_j)) \leq 1/c^2$$

Example: 99.99% of the time we will get $k_B + 1$ smooth
relations in less than $1.02k_B/p_B$ divisors if $k > 10^5$.
(This is much better than what we could say for Pollard
Rho).

If our factor base is bounded at size B , then we need to look at $O(k_B/p_B)$ divisors to have enough smooth relations.

Each divisor takes a group operation and a B -factorization ($O(g^2(\log q)^2)$ and $O(B^2 g^2(\log q)^3)$ bit operations).

Solving the linear algebra problem takes $O(gk_B^2)$ operations mod N , each taking $O(g^2(\log q)^2)$ bit operations (since $N = O(q^g)$).

If we forget the “log terms”, we get $O(k_B/p_B) + O(k_B^2)$.

To minimize, we try to get the two terms to the same size.

Using smooth relations in the class of the divisor $\mathbf{0}$, Adleman, DeMarrais and Huang showed how to get

$$L_{q^g}(1/2, 4.36\dots + o(1))$$

when $\log q \leq (2g)^{1-\varepsilon}$ (note: no sparse linear algebra).

Using the kernel approach, and tighter heuristics on p_B and k_B (by Enge and Stein), Enge and Gaudry found

$$L_{q^g} \left(1/2, \sqrt{2} \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2v}} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2v}} \right) + o(1) \right)$$

when $\frac{g}{\log q} \geq v \geq 1$.

Finding Smooth Relations

We want to look at “randomly” chosen divisors.

If we look at divisors in the class zero, we can pick random principal divisors of the form $(A(x)y - B(x))$.

But how do we factor this, we are missing $u(x)$?

We are looking at ideals of the ring $\mathbb{F}_q[x, y]/(y^2 + h(x)y - f(x))$, so $(A(x)y - B(x))$ “contains” $R(x, y) = A(x)^2(y^2 + h(x)y - f(x))$ and we find

$$\begin{aligned} R(x, y) &= (A(x)y)^2 + h(x)A(x)(A(x)y) - f(x)A(x)^2 \\ &\equiv B(x)^2 + h(x)A(x)B(x) - f(x)A(x)^2 \pmod{A(x)y - B(x)} \\ &= u(x) \in (A(x)y - B(x)) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(A(x)y - B(x)) = (u(x), y - (B(x)/A(x) \pmod{u(x)}))$$

Finding Smooth Relations

For the kernel method, we look at smooth $[\alpha]a + [\beta]b$. We can find those using a random (or pseudo-random walk), just as we did with Pollard ρ . Instead of looking for distinguished points, we are looking for B -smooth divisors.

But we want to go much faster than Pollard ρ , so we don't really care about going back to the same smooth divisor.

This means we can remove the "function" part of the random map, i.e. we get

$$F(x) = x + ([\alpha_i]a + [\beta_i]b)$$

where $([\alpha_i]a + [\beta_i]b)$ is chosen at random (without any link to x) from a set of precomputed values.